

International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences ISSN: 2319-7706 Special Issue-11 pp. 2854-2864 Journal homepage: <u>http://www.ijcmas.com</u>



Original Research Article

Activity of Different Botanicals on Biological Parameters against Spodoptera litura (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Soybean

Navya Matcha*, A. K. Bhowmick, Sachin Balpande and S. S. Band

Department of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidhyalaya, Jabalpur-482004, Madhya Pradesh, India *Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Keywords

Botanicals, Larval growth index, Growth index, *Spodoptera litura,* Eucalyptus, *Datura stramonium* Efficacy of crude aqueous extracts of three plant species and three plant oils against *Spodoptera litura* second instar larvae at 2 and 5 % concentrations under controlled laboratory conditions. Leaf extracts of *Datura stramonium* and among plant oils Eucalyptus oil, Neem oil were found most promising. Eucalyptus oil was the most effective botanical causing Least larval growth index in all larval instars, Pupal growth index (0.44), Adult index (0.52), Oviposition index (2) and total growth index (2.55). While, major biological parameters like weight reduction was seen highly reduced in treatment with Eucalyptus oil in 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th larval instar (51, 98.80, 260.33, 350) and pupa (207). The Eucalyptus oil also inflicted reduced development period with reduction in each stage during development with 2.94, 2.80, 4.60, 1, 4.5 and 6 days for 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th instar, pupa and adult stage. However, Eucalyptus oil was observed to be the most promising botanical while in plant extracts Datura extract (*Datura stramonium*) was the next best botanical next to eucalyptus oil.

Introduction

Soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merril) is the world's most important legume, which contributes to 25 % of edible oil worldwide and also nearly two-thirds of the world's protein concentrate for livestock feeding. Due to high protein content (>40%) and high oil content (>20%), soybean is considered to be an important food commodity (Mehto, 2016; Thombre *et al.*, 2017). There is a gradual reduction in the soybean yield because of various biotic interferences in crop growth in the field, such as interference by different

pests and diseases. The pests on soybean attack the leaves, pods and stems. Spodoptera litura (F.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is a polyphagous pest. widely distributed throughout the Asia. It has a wide range of hosts of which 40 species are known in India (Krishnaveni et al., 2013; Singh et al., 1998 and Paulraj, 2001; Lakshman et al., 2017). S. *litura* is an economically important pest that has developed insecticide resistance in India (Chandrayudu et al., 2017). It led to sporadic outbreaks of the pest and failure of the crops (Ahmad et al., 2006). It has also developed manifold resistance against conventionally

used insecticides. The excessive usage of chemical insecticides has resulted in serious problems like development of resistance. The growing awareness of the hazards of excessive use of pesticides globally has led researchers to search for safer and more environment-friendly alternative methods for insect pest control. Over the last three to four decades greater attention has been focused on the bioactivity of phytochemicals for their potential as pesticides against phytophagous insect parts (Sahayaraj, 2011; Anbalagan et al., 2014 and Manju et al., 2016). On the other hand several plant species have been reported to possess insecticidal properties (Singh et al., 2001; Anna Senrung et al., 2014). They are also responsible for affecting the food consumption and utilization by insects (Rajguru et al., 2010), also their oviposition is affected (Raja et al., 2003; Jeysankar et al., 2013). Therefore, the present study was carried out to screen selected botanicals for their ovicidal and repellent activities against S. litura in soybean.

Materials and Methods

Insect culture

Laboratory culture of tobacco caterpillar, *Spodoptera litura* maintained on soybean leaves (cv. JS 95-60) following Rajguru *et al.*,(2010) at $27\pm2^{\circ}$ C, $70\pm5\%$ RH and natural photoperiod conditions was used for the experiment.

Preparation of plant extracts

Six botanicals were used out of which three were plant oils while the remaining three were leaf extracts (Table 1). The leaf extracts was prepared by collecting 500g of fresh leaves and soaked them in 100ml water overnight followed by macerating them using a blender and filter the extract with Whatman filter paper and preparing the solutions based on the concentrations required. The plant oils were mixed with sticker (Teepol) before they were used. The different treatments and their concentrations used for the experiment are tabulated below:

Effect of plant extracts on *S. litura* development

The second instar larvae were used for the experimental study and soybean plants were raised in the pots outside the lab in open conditions. The trifoliate leaves were collected and they were treated with the plant extract solutions by leaf dip method and the leaves were kept aside to let them dry. Then, the treated leaves were placed in the petridishes as well as 10 second instar larvae were released in each treatment in a petridish and the petridishes number were replicated according to the statistical design followed. The petridishes were kept inside the BOD temperature Chamber where the was maintained but, the humidity was maintained by placing glass troughs at the bottom shelf of the BOD Chamber. The first observation was taken 24hrs after treatment (1DAT) after that the treated leaves were changed with untreated leaves, the soybean leaf petioles were wrapped with wet cotton swab in order to keep the leaves fresh for 24hrs, the rest of the experiment only untreated leaves were used to study the effect of these plant extracts on the biological parameters of the test insect. The observations were taken as 3DAT, 5DAT, 7DAT till the end of the life cycle of Spodoptera. The parameters like weights of larvae, lengths of larvae, number of larvae that reached the next instar, duration of every instar of the larvae, the number of larvae that reached pupation, the weights of pupae, lengths of pupae, percentage of pupation, sex ratio, duration of pupal stage, the number of adults emerged, adults longevity and fecundity were recorded and compared with control plot. Further during adult stage the

pre oviposition. oviposition and post oviposition periods are evaluated under the adult longevity. Growth index and percent survivability of the test insect under each treatment and compared to control plot in order to conclude the effect of the plant extracts on the development (Arivoli, 2013). Larval growth index, pupal growth index, survival index and total growth index will also be evaluated. All this methodology was followed based on the methodology followed by Rajguru et al., 2010.

Larval growth index

Weight of larva which = <u>fed on treated leaves</u> Weight of larva which fed on untreated leaves

Pupal growth index

Weight of pupa in treatment

Weight of pupa in control

Total growth index percent pupation

arval period Percent survivability number of adults completing development in treatment

= <u>in treatment</u> number of adults completing development x 100 in control

Adult index

average adult longevity in treatment average adult longevity in control

Oviposition index number of eggs laid by adults that = emerged from treated leaves number of eggs laid by adults that emerged from untreated leaves

Percent pupation = $\frac{number \ of \ healthy \ pupae \ formed}{number \ of \ larvae \ taken \ initially} \times 100$

Percent adult emergence = $\frac{number \ of \ healthy \ adults \ emerged}{number \ of \ healthy \ pupae \ formed} \times 100$

The data wherever percentages are calculated, it will be transformed using arcs in transformation

Results and Discussion

The weights of different stages of Spodoptera litura(F.) were recorded in milligrams and calculated bv following square root transformation of data and analysis was done Completely Randomized Design. As, per the Table 2., the resulted data implied that maximum reduction in weight in second instar larva was observed marigold leaf extract treatment (39.52) and similarly the weight of larvae in Datura leaf extract (39.90) was also found at par with marigold leaf extract while, the least reduction in weight was found to be seen in Eucalyptus oil treatments (45.98) and also in control (51.06). The resulted data implied that maximum reduction in weight in third instar larva was observed Eucalyptus oil treatment (51.0) followed by datura leaf extract (89.62) while, the least reduction in weight was found to be seen in datura leaf extract (99.20) and also in control (114.20). The resulted data could be concluded that maximum reduction in weight fourth instar larva was observed in Eucalyptus oil treatment (98.80) followed by neem oil (114.60) while, the least reduction in weight was found to be seen in annona leaf extract (193.20) and also in control(210.40). The resulted data could be concluded that maximum reduction in weight in fifth instar larva was observed Eucalyptus oil treatment (260.33) followed by neem oil (310.20) while, the least reduction in weight was found to be seen in annona leaf extract (426.80) and also in control (495.20). The resulted data could be concluded that maximum reduction in weight in sixth instar larva was observed Eucalyptus oil treatment (350.0) followed by datura leaf extract (403.75) while, the least reduction in weight was found to be seen in annona leaf extract (639.20) and also in control (674). The computed data showed that maximum reduction in weight in pre-pupa was observed Eucalyptus oil treatment (114.0) followed by

marigold leaf extract (256.68) while, the least reduction in weight was found to be seen in neem oil (409.80) and also in control(387.80). The resulted data could be concluded that maximum reduction in weight in pupa was observed Eucalyptus oil treatment (207.0) followed by neem oil (316.33) while, the least reduction in weight was found to be seen in marigold leaf extract (339.20) and also in control (436.0).

The durations of different stages of Spodoptera litura (F.) in days, percent pupation, percent adult emergence and percent survival were calculated. As, per the Table 3., the larval duration in second instar showed no much significant difference among all the treatments. The larval duration of third instar larva was reduced maximum in Eucalyptus oil (2.94) followed by neem oil (3.48), while it was only 4.82 days in control. The larval duration of fourth instar larva was reduced maximum in Eucalyptus oil (2.80) followed by neem oil (3.42), while it was only 4.26 days in control. The larval duration of fifth instar larva was reduced maximum in Eucalyptus oil (4.60) followed by pongamia oil (5.0), while it was only 8.10 days in control. The larval duration of sixth instar larva was reduced maximum in Eucalyptus oil (1.0) while neem oil and datura (1.0)while it was only 1.40 days in control. In prepupal period, there was no significant difference among all the treatments.

The pupal period was found least in eucalyptus oil (4.50) followed by neem oil (5.0) while it was only 7.60 days in control. In adult longevity, the minimum number of days was recorded in eucalyptus oil (6.0), followed by neem oil (8.0) which was at par with control (11.40). The minimum number of days in female was recorded in neem oil (6.66), followed by datura (7.33) while it was 9.60 in control. The percent pupation was least in eucalyptus oil (40%) which was at par with neem oil (41.33%), meanwhile it was (94.80%) in control. The percent adult emergence was least in eucalyptus oil (75.38%), followed by neem oil (78.10%), while (94.17%) in control. The percent survival was least in eucalyptus oil (33.22%), followed by neem oil (35.33%), meanwhile (97.83%%) in control. The number of adults emerged were least in eucalyptus (2.50 males and 1.00 females) followed by neem (1.66 males and 2.50 females) and highest in control (4.80 males and 4.20 females).

The adult longevity of female the preoviposition period had no significant difference among treatments. The oviposition period was least in eucalyptus oil (3.00), followed by neem oil (3.33) meanwhile its only 3.60 days in control. The least post oviposition period was recorded in neem oil (2.33) followed by datura (3.00) while it was 5 days in control. The fecundity recorded as number of eggs showed that though there was significant reduction in fecundity in all treatments minimum fecundity was found in eucalyptus (163.50) followed by neem (176.00) while maximum fecundity was found in control (756.60). The percent fertility of female moths was evaluated and found least in eucalyptus as 20.10%, followed by neem oil 23.34% while highest was recorded as 96.91% in control.

The growth indices were calculated it is nothing but the rate of growth of treated test insect over the control and the results obtained delved that the larval growth index in second instar showed no significant difference among all the treatments while, the least larval growth index in all larval instars in eucalyptus oil (0.45 in 3rd instar, 0.47 in 4th instar, 0.58 in 5th instar, 0.51 in 6th instar and 0.61 in pre-pupa). The pupal growth index recorded show that the least index was found in eucalyptus oil (0.44), followed by pongamia oil (0.77).

Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci (2020) Special Issue-11: 2854-2864

T ₁	Datura leaf extract(Datura stramonium)	5%(v/v)* (Kulkarni et al., 2014)
T ₂	Annona leaf extract (Annona squamosa)	5% (v/v) (Babu <i>et al.</i> , 1998)
T ₃	Marigold leaf extract (Tagetus erecta)	5% (v/v) (Kulkarni et al., 2014)
T ₄	Neem oil (Azardirachta indica)	5% (v/v) (Sueli et al., 2001)
T ₅	Pongamia oil (Pongamia pinnata)	5% (v/v) (Babu <i>et al.</i> , 1998)
T ₆	Eucalyptus oil (Eucalyptus glabrous)	2% (v/v) (Baskaran <i>et al.</i> , 2012)
T ₇	Control	

Table.1 Treatment details of botanicals used in the experiment

Table.2 Effect of different botanicals on the weight (mg) of Spodoptera litura (F.)

Treatment	LARVA								
I reatment	2^{ND}	3 RD	4 TH	5 TH	6 TH	PRE-	PUPA		
detan	INSTAR	INSTAR	INSTAR	INSTAR	INSTAR	PUPA			
T ₁ (Datura stramonium)	39.90 (6.31)	89.62 (9.42)	148.00 (12.56)	345.20 (18.55)	403.75 (15.30)	386.33 (12.08)	324.00 (11.09)		
T ₂ (Annona squamosa)	41.70 (6.44)	90.00 (9.05)	193.20 (13.89)	426.80 (20.55)	639.20 (25.28)	346.80 (17.73)	336.20 (18.33)		
T ₃ (Tagetus erecta)	39.52 (6.26)	99.20 (9.92)	176.80 (13.28)	364.40 (18.01)	609.00 (24.68)	256.68 (13.68)	339.20 (18.42)		
T ₄ (Azardirachta indica)	45.46 (6.73)	95.60 (9.46)	142.60 (11.93)	310.20 (17.58)	482.80 (21.94)	279.20 (15.09)	316.33 (10.96)		
T5 (Pongamia pinnata)	44.26 (6.65)	95.20 (9.72)	163.40 (12.76)	383.40 (19.52)	584.00 (24.17)	409.80 (20.25)	324.20 (18.01)		
T ₆ (Eucalyptus globules)	45.98 (6.78)	51.00 (7.11)	98.80 (9.93)	260.33 (9.96)	353.00 (7.94)	114.00 (7.12)	207.00 (6.18)		
T ₇	51.06	114.20	210.40	495.20	674.00	387.80	436.00		
(Control)	(7.14)	(10.67)	(14.48)	(22.16)	(25.94)	(18.75)	(20.18)		
SE(M)±	0.126	0.655	0.261	2.004	2.472	3.491	2.610		
C.D. @5%	0.368	1.907	0.760	5.836	7.199	NS	7.60		

() figures in parentheses are square root transformed values (\sqrt{x} + 0.5). C.D. @ 5%, NS: Non significant

	Duration (days)							-	Adult longevity		Adult	Survival	
Treatment	LAKVAL INSTAR						Dung	Pupation	(days)		Auun $(0/)$		
	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th	6 th	Pre-pupa	гира	(%)	Male	female	emergence (78)	(%)	
T ₁	3.02	4.16	4.14	8.10	1.00	1.00	6.00	56.66	9.00	7.33	88.46	58.76	
(D.stramonium)	(1.74)	(2.03)	(2.03)	(2.93)	(1.18)	(1.01)	(1.81)	(31.20)*	(2.13)	(1.93)	(44.31) *	(32.36) *	
T ₂	3.00	5.16	5.06	10.48	2.00	2.00	9.00	82.40	13.60	12.00 94.46		82.12	
(A.squamosa)	(1.73)	(2.27)	(2.25)	(3.31)	(1.58)	(1.58)	(3.08)	(65.95) *	(3.75)	(3.53) (77.91) *		(65.63) *	
T ₃	3.00	5.08	5.12	9.12	2.00	1.00	8.00	74.20	12.00	13.6	92.98	70.58	
(T.erecta)	(1.73)	(2.56)	(2.26)	(3.10)	(1.58)	(1.22)	(2.92)	(60.68) *	(3.54)	(3.75)	(76.31) *	(57.90) *	
T ₄	3.00	3.48	3.42	6.32	1.00	1.00	5.00	41.33	8.00	6.66	78.10	35.33	
(A.indica)	(1.73)	(1.86)	(1.84)	(2.61)	(1.22)	(1.22)	(1.69)	(25.72) *	(2.03)	(1.89)	(40.28) *	(23.53) *	
T ₅	3.00	4.72	4.32	5.00	2.00	1.00	7.60	66.20	10.40	11.00	87.13	64.48	
(P.pinnata)	(1.73)	(2.17)	(2.07)	(2.33)	(1.58)	(1.22)	(2.84)	(55.17) *	(3.30)	(3.39)	(71.79) *	53.97) *	
T ₆	3.00	2.94	2.80	4.60	1.00	1.00	4.50	40.00	6.00	7.50	75.38	33.22	
(E.globules)	(1.73)	(1.70)	(1.65)	(1.63)	(0.91)	(1.22)	(1.32)	(18.23) *	(1.44)	(1.55)	(26.72) *	(16.63) *	
T ₇	3.00	4.82	4.26	8.10	1.40	1.40	7.60	94.80	11.40	9.60	94.17	97.83	
(control)	(1.73)	(2.19)	(2.06)	(2.93)	(1.36)	(1.36)	(2.84)	(78.85) *	(3.45)	(3.18)	(78.18) *	(82.80) *	
SE(M)±	0.002	0.045	0.049	0.150	0.069	0.075	0.270	7.036	0.346	0.334	10.432	6.805	
C.D. @5%	NS	0.131	0.143	0.438	0.202	0.217	0.788	20.487	1.008	0.973	30.375	19.815	

Table.3 Effect of	f different botanicals	s on the develop	pment of Spodo	ptera litura (F.)
-------------------	------------------------	------------------	----------------	-------------------

() figures in parentheses are square root transformed values ($\sqrt{x} + 0.5$), ()* figures in parenthese are arcsin transformed values ($\sqrt{x} + 0.5$), ()* figures in parenthese are arcsin transformed values ($x^{-1} + 0.5$)

Treatment		Adult	longevity (days)						
			Female	r		Fertility	No. c	of adults	Sex
	Male	Pre-oviposition	Oviposition period	Post-oviposition	Fecundity	(%)	em	erged	ratio ♂:♀
		period	period period				male	female	
T_1	9.00	1.00	3.33	3.00	199.60	26.47	2.66	2.33	1 14.1 0
(D.stramonium)	(2.13)	(1.01)	(1.45)	(1.40)	(8.77)	(20.36)*	(1.32)	(1.29)	1.14.1.0
T_2	13.60	2.00	3.40	6.60	392.00	52.36	3.60	4.40	0.01.1.0
(A.squamosa)	(3.75)	(1.58)	(1.97)	(2.66)	(21.64)	(46.67) *	(2.00)	(2.20)	0.01:1.0
T_3	12.00	1.00	3.40	9.00	231.20	30.80	3.60	3.40	1.05.1.0
(T.erecta)	(3.54)	(1.22)	(1.97)	(3.08)	(35.17)	(33.98) *	(1.99)	(1.97)	1.05:1.0
T_4	8.00	1.00	3.33	2.33	176.00	23.34	1.66	2.50	0 66.1 0
(A.indica)	(2.03)	(1.01)	(1.45)	(1.29)	(27.42)	(19.26) *	(1.16)	(1.11)	0.00:1.0
T 5	10.40	1.60	4.40	5.00	210.20	28.18	2.40	3.40	07.10
(P.pinnata)	(3.30)	(1.43)	(2.21)	(2.35)	(8.64)	(32.34) *	(1.68)	(1.95)	0.7:1.0
T ₆	6.00	1.00	3.00	3.50	163.50	20.10	2.50	1.00	25.10
(E.globules)	(1.44)	(0.91)	(1.16)	(1.22)	(12.84)	(13.15) *	(1.16)	(0.812)	2.5:1.0
T_7	11.40	1.00	3.60	5.00	756.60	96.91	4.80	4.20	1 1 4 . 1 0
(control)	(3.45)	(1.22)	(2.20)	(2.35)	(26.11)	(81.40) *	(2.29)	(2.15)	1.14:1.0
SE(M)±	0.346	0.088	0.203	0.187	9.364	4.437	0.192	0.163	-
C.D. @5%	1.008	0.257	0.592	0.545	NS	12.920	0.559	0.473	-

Table.4 Effect of different botanicals of	on biological parameter	rs of adults of Spodo	ptera litura (F.)
---	-------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------

() figures in parentheses are square root transformed values ($\sqrt{x} + 0.5$), ()* figures in parenthese are arcsin transformed values ($x^{-1} + 0.5$)

	Larval growth index							Adul	t index		Total
	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th	6 th	Pre-	growth	Mələ	Famala	index	growth
	instar	instar	instar	instar	instar	pupa	index	Whate	remate	шисл	index
T ₁	0.78	0.80	0.71	0.72	0.79	0.83	0.83	0.77	0.75	0.23	2.64
(D.stramonium)	0.78	(0.88)	(0.82)	(1.10)	(1.05)	(0.98)	(0.97)	(0.94)	(0.94)	(0.82)	(1.33)
T ₂	0.81	0.99	0.93	0.86	0.95	0.90	0.79	1.20	1.25	0.52	2.97
(A.squamosa)	0.01	(1.00)	(0.94)	(1.16)	(1.20)	(1.18)	(1.13)	(1.30)	(1.32)	(1.00)	(1.86)
T ₃	0.77	0.88	0.85	0.85	0.91	0.88	0.80	1.06	1.40	0.31	2.93
(T.erecta)		(0.94)	(0.94)	(1.16)	(1.18)	(1.04)	(1.13)	(1.24)	(1.38)	(0.90)	(1.84)
T ₄	0.80	0.94	0.68	0.65	0.72	0.74	0.81	0.71	0.68	0.23	2.29
(A.indica)	0.89	(0.94)	(0.82)	(1.06)	(1.10)	(1.16)	(0.97)	(0.90)	(0.94)	(0.80)	(1.28)
T ₅	0.87	0.84	0.78	0.81	0.87	0.88	0.77	0.93	1.15	0.28	3.30
(P.pinnata)		(0.92)	(0.88)	(1.13)	(1.17)	(0.84)	(1.12)	(1.20)	(1.28)	(0.90)	(1.94)
T ₆	0.00	0.45	0.47	0.58	0.51	0.61	0.44	0.52	0.75	0.20	2.55
(E.globules)	0.90	(0.66)	(0.68)	(0.90)	(0.82)	(1.20)	(0.814)	(0.82)	(0.86)	(0.76)	(1.12)
T ₇	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	4.14
(control)	1.00	1.00	(1.00)	(1.22)	(1.22)	(1.20)	(1.22)	(1.20)	(1.20)	(1.20)	(2.15)
SE(M)±	0.018	0.049	0.023	0.042	0.046	0.065	0.066	0.060	0.068	0.032	0.173
C.D. @5%	0.053	0.142	0.068	0.124	0.133	0.191	0.191	0.175	0.197	0.092	0.504

Table.5 Effect of different botanicals on growth indices of Spodoptera litura (F.)

The adult index was recorded lowest in eucalyptus (0.52) followed by neem oil (0.71) in case of males while lowest in neem oil (0.68), followed by eucalyptus (0.75) in case of females. The oviposition index was least in eucalyptus (0.20) followed by neem oil (0.23). The total growth index was found to be lowest in neem oil (2.29), followed by eucalyptus oil (2.55) when compared to control (4.14).

The state of pest management today mainly focuses not on the performance of the insecticide on the target pest but its environmental impact is of major importance, keeping this aspect in mind using botanical pesticides is one of the best alternative to chemical control. For lepidopteran pest oviposition deterrence, feeding deterrent activities have been reported by several researchers (Singh et al., 2001). It is evident from the results that all the plant materials evaluated possess a certain degree of bioactivity against S. litura. The effects were more deleterious after 48 hours rather than 24 hours. The studies conducted by Kamaraj et al., (2008) and Pavela, (2009) reveal that subja and karanj were effective against S. littoralis, respectively when administered at higher concentrations. A result of aqueous extraction method (Mamum et al., 2009). Martinez and Emden (1999) reported that the sublethal concentrations of azadirachtin from neem caused reduced food intake with prolonged larval instars in S. littoralis. It suggests that the concentrations of plant extracts producing deformities and reduced development in the present study were quite enough to exert secondary antifeedant effects on S. litura manifested by remarkable reduction in larval weight with disturbed developmental period on treated foods as compared to untreated food (control). A compensatory feeding behavior commonly seen in insects whenever they are transferred to normal diet from contaminated food, a

primary cause for delayed larval development (Saxena and Saxena, 1992; Daniel et al., 1995; Martinez and Emden, 1999), was evidenced in the present study. The extent of reduction in larval period on eucalyptus oil, neem oil and datura leaf extract superceding marigold leaf extract, anonna leaf extract and karanj oil here indicate that the secondary antifeedant effects exerted bv these treatments were long lasting and more deleterious. The lack of compensatory feeding behaviour by the S. litura larvae consequently reducing larval period on treated food, is a symbolic of moulting disruption as reported earlier by Carvalho (1996) in azadirachtin fed S. litura. It brings out the fact that some specific substances from eucalyptus oil, neem oil and datura leaf extract might be involved in preventing S. litura larvae from exhibiting this normal behaviour. This aspect needs to be thoroughly investigated, especially in case of eucalyptus oiland datura leaf extract to develop an effective botanical insecticide with potent antifeedant action.

This study was just an attempt to evaluate the different botanicals in form of plant extracts and oils for proving their effect on development activity against *S. litura*. The results indicate that eucalyptus oil, datura leaf extract and neem oil effected the most; hence the botanicals are reliable source for eco-friendly management of *S. litura* in soybean.

References

- Ahmad M, Saleem M A, Ahmad M and Sayyed A H 2006. Time trends in mortality for conventional and new insecticides against leaf worm, *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 9(3): 360-364.
- Anbalagan, Santhosh Kumar, Kathirvelu, Baskar, Ajith, Johnson and Savarior

Vincent 2014.Geographic variationin cypermethrin insecticide resistance and morphometry in*Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Journal of Coastal Life Medicine*,2(3): 225-229.

- Anna Senrung, Jyotsna Singh, Smriti Sharma, Tenzin Nyibum Bhutia, Ashok Kumar Singh 2014. Effect of Murraya koenigii extracts on feeding and ovipositional response of Spodoptera litura (F.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies, 2(3): 27-31.
- Arivoli S, Tennyson S 2012. Antifeedant Activity of Plant Extracts against *S. litura* (Fab.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Science 12(6):764-768.
- Babu P B S, Rao J M and Joy B 1998. Effect of crude oils of *Annona squamosa* and*A.reticulata* on feeding and development of *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) larvae. *Journal of Insect Science*, 11(2): 184-185.
- Baskaran J, Arshid Gani, Elumalai K and Krishnappa K 2012. Oviposition deterrent activity of plants essential oils against armyworm, *Spodoptera litura* (fab.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *International Journal of Current Life Sciences*, 2(9): 30.
- Carvalho, S.M. 1996. Effect of sublethal concentrations of azadirachtin on development of *Spodoptera littoralis*. Ph.D Thesis submitted to University of Reading UK.
- Chandrayudu E, Murali Krishna T andVemana K 2017. Molecular characterization of insecticide resistance in larval population of *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.). *Journal of Oilseeds Research*, 34(2): 103-108.
- Daniel, T., Umarani, S. and Shakthivadivel,

M. 1995. Insecticidal action of *Ervatamia divaricata* L. and *Acalypha indica* L. against *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say. *Geobios New Reports*, 14 (2) 95-98.

- Jeyasankar A, Elumalai K, Raja N, and Ignacimuthu S 2013. Effect plant chemicals on oviposition deterrent and ovicidal activities against female moth, *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) (Lepidoptera:Noctuidae). *I. J. Agricult. Sci. Res.*, 2(6): 206-213.
- Kamaraj C., Rahuman, A.A. and Bagavan, A.
 2008. Antifeedant and larvicidal effects of plant extracts against *Spodoptera litura* (F.), *Aedes aegypti* L. and *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say. *Parasitology Research*, 1003: 325-331.
- Krishnaveni K, Sharfun N L and Muthusamy M 2013. Biochemical effects of Pongam oil and Neemgold on Spodoptera litura larva (Fab.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). International Journal of Advanced Life Science, 6(4):261-265.
- Kulkarni U S, Aherkar S K and Borkar S L 2014. Effect of some botanical extracts on larval growth and development of *Spodoptera litura* (F.). *Indian Journal of Entomology*, 76(2): 132-135.
- Manju P, Kumar K, Gopal V and Prakash Yoganandam 2016. Laboratory evaluation of medicinal plant extracts against *Spodoptera litura* Fabricius (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Journal of Oilseeds Research*, 33(1): 95-97.
- Mamum, MSA, Shahajahan, M. and Ahmad,
 M. 2009. Laboratory evaluation of some indigenous plant extracts as toxicants against red flour beetle *Tribolium castaneum* Herbst. *Journal of Bangladesh Agricultural University*, 7(1): 1-5.

Martinez, S.M. and Emden, H.F. 1999.

Sublethal concentrations of azadirachtin affect food intake, conversion efficiency and feeding behaviour of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisduval) (Lepidoptera-Noctuidae) *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 89: 65-71.

- Mehto N 2016. Bio-efficacy of postemergence herbicides against weeds in soybean. M.Sc (Ag.), Thesis submitted to Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur. Pp. 1-87.
- Paulraj M G 2001. Integration of intercrops and plant product on chosen groundnut pests management. Ph.D. thesis, St. Joseph's College Bharathidasan (Autonomous) University. Trichy, Tamil Nadu, India.
- Pavela, R. 2009. Effectiveness of some botanical insecticides against *Spodoptera* Boisduval littoralis (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), Myzus Sulzer persicae (Hemiptera: Aphididae) and Tertanychus urticae Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae). Plant Protection Science, 45 (4): 161-167.
- Raja N, Elumalai K, Jayakumar M, Jeyasankar A, Muthu C, Ignacimuthu S 2003. Biological activity of different plant extracts against armyworm, S. litura (Fab.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Journal of Entomological Research, 27(4):281-292.
- Rajguru M, Sharma A N and Banerjee S 2010. Utilization indices of *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) larvae as

influenced by some plant extracts. *Soybean Research*, 8: 28-38.

- Sahayaraj K and Paulraj M G 1998. Screening the relative toxicity of some plant extracts to *Spodoptera litura* Fab. (Insecta: Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) of groundnut. *Fresenius* -*Environmental Bulletin*, 7: 557-560.
- Saxena, A. and Saxena, R.C. 1992. Effects of Ageratum conyzoides extract on the developmental stages of malaria vector *Anapheles stephensi* (Diptera: Culicidae) Journal of Environmental Biology, 30: 207-209.
- Singh A K Parasnath and Ojha J K 1998. Antifeeding response of some plant extract against Spodoptera litura (Fab.) of groundnut. Indian Journal of Applied Entomology, 12: 9-13.
- Singh H and Bhattacharya A K 2001.Role of plant growth regulators on the developmental profile of *Spodoptera litura*: Effect of plant growth stimulants. *Indian Journal of Entomology*, 63(3): 329-339.
- Sueli S MartinezI, Helmut F, Van emdenII 2001. Growth disruption, abnormalities and mortality of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisduval) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) caused by Azadirachtin. *Neotropical Entomology*, 30(1): 12-16.
- Thombre M T, Damame S V, Lokhande P Kand Naik R M 2017.Physiobiochemical evaluation of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) genotypes exhibiting variable seed coat colour. Journal of Oilseeds Research, 34(1): 32-37.